

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP
Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785)
L. Timothy Fisher, Of Counsel (Bar No. 191626)
2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
Walnut Creek, California 94589
Telephone: (925) 945-0770
Facsimile: (925) 945-8792
-and-
Eric L. Zagar
Robin Winchester
Nichole Browning
J. Daniel Albert
280 King of Prussia Road
Radnor, PA 19087
Telephone: (610) 667-7706
Facsimile: (610) 667-7056

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

RALPH D. WILDER, Derivatively on
Behalf of Nominal Defendant SONIC
SOLUTIONS,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. C 07-cv-1500-MEJ
)
v.)
ROBERT J. DORIS, DAVID C. HABIGER,)
MARY C. SAUER, A. CLAY LEIGHTON,)
MARK ELY, ROBERT M. GERBER,)
PETER J. MARGUGLIO, R. WARREN)
and R. WARREN Langley,) Date: June 21, 2007
Defendant,) Time: 10:00 a.m.
and) Courtroom: B
SONIC SOLUTIONS,) Judge: Honorable Maria-Elena James
Nominal Defendant.)

1 To be consolidated with:

2 ANDREW WALTER, Derivatively on)	Case No. C 07-cv-2344-CW
3 Behalf of Nominal Defendant SONIC)	
3 SOLUTIONS,)	
4))	
4 Plaintiff,)	
5))	
5 v.)	
6))	
6 ROBERT J. DORIS, MARY C. SAUER,)	
7 JAMES A. MOORER, MICHAEL C.)	
7 CHILD, ROBERT M. GREBER, PETER J.)	
8 MARGUGLIO, R. WARREN LANGLEY,)	
9 A. CLAY LEIGHTON, KIRK PAULSEN,)	
9 MICHAEL J. COSTELLO and)	
10 CHRISTOPHER A. KRYZAN,)	
10))	
11 Defendant,)	
11 and)	
12))	
13 SONIC SOLUTIONS,)	
13))	
14 Nominal Defendant.)	

15 AND NOW, upon consideration of the Motion filed by Plaintiff Andrew Walter to
 16 Consolidate Cases For All Purposes, Appoint Lead Plaintiff and Appoint Lead Counsel, and any
 17 response thereto, and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED as
 18 follows:

19 **I. CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS**

20 1. The following actions are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial
 21 proceedings, trial and appeal:

23	<u>Abbreviated Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>
24	<i>Ralph D. Wilder v. Robert J. Doris, et. al.</i>	C07-cv-1500-MEJ	March 15, 2007
25	<i>Andrew Walter v. Robert J. Doris, et. al.,</i>	C07-cv-2344-CW	April 30, 2007

6 3. Every pleading filed in the consolidated actions, or in any separate action
7 included herein, shall bear the following caption:

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re SONIC SOLUTIONS)
2 DERIVATIVE LITIGATION)
3 _____)
4 This Document Relates To:)
Master File No. C07-cv-1500-MEJ

6 4. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions governed by this
7 Order, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document
8 Relates To:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to only
9 some, but not all, of the consolidated actions, this Court's docket number for each individual
10 action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable and the abbreviated case name of said
11 action shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption
12 described above (e.g., "*Ralph D. Wilder v. Robert J. Doris, et. al.* C07-cv-1500-MEJ").

5. A Master Docket and a Master File hereby are established for the above
consolidated proceedings and for all other related cases filed in or transferred to this Court.
Separate dockets shall continue to be maintained for each of the individual actions hereby
consolidated, and entries shall be made in the docket of each individual case in accordance with
the regular procedures of the clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.

1 6. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to "All
2 Actions," the clerk shall file such pleading in the Master File and note such filing on the Master
3 Docket. No further copies need be filed, and no other docket entries need be made.

4 7. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer
5 than all of the consolidated actions, the clerk will file such pleading in the Master File only but
6 shall docket such filing on the Master Docket and the docket of each applicable action.

7 8. When a case which properly belongs as part of In re Sonic Solutions Derivative
8 Litigation is filed in this Court or transferred to this Court from another court and assigned to
9 Judge Maria-Elena James, the clerk of this Court shall:

- 10 (a) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
- 11 (b) Mail to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly-filed or transferred
12 case a copy of this Order and direct that this Order be served upon or
mailed to any new defendant(s) or their counsel in the newly-filed or
transferred case; and
- 13 (c) Make an appropriate entry on the Master Docket. This Court requests the
14 assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the clerk of this Court
the filing or transfer of any case which properly might be consolidated as
15 part of In re sonic Solutions Derivative Litigation.

16 **II. APPOINTMENT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF AND LEAD COUNSEL**

17 9. Andrew Walter shall be appointed Lead Plaintiff.

18 10. The law firm of Schiffrian Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP shall be appointed
19 Lead Counsel for plaintiff in the consolidated Sonic Solutions shareholder derivative actions.

20 11. Lead Counsel shall have authority to speak for plaintiffs in matters regarding
21 pretrial and trial procedure and settlement negotiations, and shall make all work assignments in
22 such manner as to facilitate the orderly and efficient prosecution of this litigation and to avoid
23 duplicative or unproductive effort.

24 12. Defendants' counsel may rely upon all agreements made with Lead Counsel or
25 other duly authorized representatives of Plaintiff and such agreements shall be binding on
26 Plaintiffs.

III. SCHEDULE

13. Plaintiffs shall no later than forty-five (45) days from the entry of this Order file and serve a Consolidated Complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendants need not respond to any of the pre-existing complaints.

14. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint no later than forty-five (45) days from the date of service.

15. In the event that Defendants file and serve any motion directed at the Consolidated Complaint, Plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition within forty-five (45) days after the service of the motion.

16. If Defendants file and serve a reply to Plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so within fifteen (15) days after service of the opposition.

JT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

The Honorable Maria-Elena James,
United States District Judge